Challenges for IHR implementation

Group 3

Experience from Different Countries

- Greece
- Israel
- Cyprus
- Malta
- Romania
- Bulgaria
- Kosovo
- Turkey

Country Specific WHO notifications

- Israel- Measles, Avian Flu
- Greece- Measles
- Kosovo- Tularemia, CCHF, HFRS
- Turkey- Avian Flu, CCHF

Common Problems

National Focal Points

- Localized in the MoH and not in public health (intra-ministry communication problems)
- 24/7/365
- Inter-ministries communication problems
- Malta- FP located in port health

Legislation

 No major changes had been done by the participating countries

Assesment of Core Capacities

• Done

National Response Plan

- Examples
 - Avian influenza
 - Pandemic Influenza
 - Bioterrorism threats (Anthrax, Smallpox etc)
 - SARS
- Some countries tested this plan on different levels

Early Warning Enhancement

- All countries enhanced their EWS
- Not IHR related
- Examples of enhancements: addition of alternative data sources (syndromic surveillance, agricultural/veterinary services, internet, media ect)

Surveillance and Response

- Timeliness
- Under-reporting
- Surveillance of non-infectious diseases

Detection	Verification	Risk Assesment	Reporting
Under- reporting	Laboratory capacities	WHO annex 2 is clear	Depends on the national focal point
Timeliness	Exess work on clinician		
Laboratory capacities	Experts		
Exess work on clinician			

Regional / Bilateral Arrangments

- Arrangments had been done
- Not specifically for IHR
- Same personal incharge for border / entery points as survillance (except Malta)

Points of entery

- Training of personel in points of entery is needed
- Problems with immigrants
- Specific preparations done in several countries (Greece, Bulgaria, Israel, Cyprus)

Summary- Main difficulties

- Coordination
- Legislation
- Implementation
- Points of entry
- Use of alternative sources & surveillance
- Personnel resources
- Lab capacity
- Budget